

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/927,049	TANAAMI, TAKEO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William H. Beisner	1744	

All Participants:

(1) William H. Beisner.

(2) Moonray Kojima.

Status of Application: After Final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

Claims 68 and 76

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/927,049	Applicant(s) TANAAMI, TAKEO	
	Examiner William H. Beisner	Art Unit 1744	

All Participants:

(1) William H. Beisner.

(2) Moonray Kojima.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 July 2006

Time: 2:00pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

Proposed changed to claims 68 and 76

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner contacted Mr. Kojima concerning proposed changes to claims 68 and 76. Mr. Kojima will contact the Examiner concerning this matter on Tues. Aug. 1.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)


(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed making minor changes to claims 68 and 76 to clarify the claim language. Mr. Kojima agreed to make the proposed claim changes by Examiner's Amendment. See the Examiner's Amendment for details of the specific changes to claims 68 and 76. .